

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIDMATIONING		
10/076,583	02/15/2002	Patric Enewoldsen	Mo-6780/LeA 35,006	CONFIRMATION NO.		
•	590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER			
	BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			DICUS, TAMRA		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1774			

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/076,583			
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	ENEWOLDSEN ET AL.		
		Tamra L. Dicus	Art Unit		
The MA	AILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	1774 /		
- Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with Any reply received.	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl ply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute if by the Office later than three months after the mailing n adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely.		
Status		·			
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on 09 S	entember 2004			
2a)⊠ This actio	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this	s application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, and			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Cla		, are analyto, 1000 O.D. 11, 45	00 U.G. 213.		
4a) Of the	10-15 is/are pending in the application	1.			
5) Claim(a)	above claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
	is/are allowed.				
	15 is/are rejected.				
8) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
o)[_] Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers	s				
9)☐ The specif	ication is objected to by the Examiner				
10)☐ The drawir	ng(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	ntod or b) 🗆 - b - b - b - c - c - c - c - c - c - c			
Applicant n	nay not request that any objection to the	pred or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Replaceme	nay not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The oath o	ent drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Exa	on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	cted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 25 11	S O O A A O	itimer. Note the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U					
12) Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-((d) or (f)		
	」Some " c)∐ None of:		(4)		
1.∐ Cert	ified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
2. ☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority documents (have been received in Application	ı No		
0 Oopi	ies of the certified copies of the priority	y documents have been received	in this National Stage		
аррп	icanon nom me miemational Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2/2\\			
* See the atta	ched detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.			
tachment(s)					
☐ Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892)				
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 040)	4) Interview Summary (P	ΓΟ-413)		
☐ Information Disclosu	ire Statement(s) (PTO-1440 or PTO/CD/00)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pate	nt Application (PTO-152)		
, aper 140(s)/iviali Da	ile	6)			
Patent and Trademark Office DL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	n Summaru			

1) 2) 3)

Application/Control Number: 10/076,583

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This Office action is responsive to the remarks received 09/09/04. The rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 13, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. The Examiner acknowledges newly added claims 10-15 and cancelled claims 1-9. A request for continued examination withdraws the finality of the previous office action. Original claims 1-9 were directed towards a laminate. Newly added claims 10-14 are directed towards a process for making a laminate.
- 3. Since Applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 10-14 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.
- 4. Applicant must file a divisional application or file a new application in order to prosecute the process for making a laminate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/076,583

Art Unit: 1774

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,733,651 to Wank et al. as previously set forth in a prior Office Action mailed 06/07/04.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 09-09-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the withdrawal from consideration of claims 10-14 are erroneous and requested reconsideration of those claims. However, Applicant may not have considered 37 CFR 1.145 and MPEP 706.07(h) VI (B), which conclusively states that Applicants cannot file an RCE to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined as a matter of right (i.e., applicant cannot switch inventions). See 37 CFR 1.145. The process of making the article laminate is distinct and independent thus the basis for the restriction. Prior to filing the RCE, there were no claims drawn to the process for making the laminate that were examined. See again 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. If Applicant desires the examination of the process claims, then Applicant must file a divisional application or file a new application in order to prosecute the process for making a laminate. Thus the restriction is final.

Regarding the Applicant's contentions that Wank does not teach a process where the printed layer is positioned in the mold "facing the nozzle" and in which the film is not preformed, or that it contains no "cover film" and in that it is not shaped are method steps and afforded little patentable weight. Again, it is the patentability of the product claimed and NOT

Application/Control Number: 10/076,583

Art Unit: 1774

of the recited process steps which must be established. *In re Brown*, 459 F. 29 531. Both Applicant's and prior art reference's product are the same. Applicant has not shown that the instant invention is not materially different. See also col. 2, lines 39-50, col. 8, lines 50-68, and Examples 1-4.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

amra L. Dicu

Examiner Art Unit 1774

11/16/04

RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

INER willy by

A.U. 1774